FILE
FEB 27
CHARLES ELMORE

FILE COPY

No. 664

In the Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1931

PIEDMONT & NORTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY,
PETITIONER

v.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION, SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY, ET AL., RESPONDENTS

MEMORANDUM OF INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION IN RE PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

NELSON THOMAS,
For Interstate Commerce Commission.

DANIEL W. KNOWLTON,
Of Counsel.

FEBRUARY, 1932.

In the Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1931

No. 664

PIEDMONT & NORTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY, petitioner

v.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION, SOUTHERN Railway Company, et al., respondents

MEMORANDUM OF INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION IN RE PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

The Interstate Commerce Commission does not oppose the application of the petitioner for writ of certiorari. The issuance of the writ as prayed would result in the speedy and final determination of the questions involved in the case, and such disposition is desired by the Commission.

In taking the position above stated, however, the Commission does not in any way concur in, assent or agree to, the allegations of fact or conclusions of law contained in the petition for certiorari, or in the brief and argument filed in support thereof.

NELSON THOMAS,
For Interstate Commerce Commission.

DANIEL W. KNOWLTON,
Of Counsel.

FEBRUARY, 1932.

103718—32

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1932